

Mark Lepore, Michael Corvenous care of
MISSION IGNITION SYSTEMS LTD., and owner
MARK CARMEN LEPORE
636 Shafer Avenue,
Sault Ste Marie, Ontario, P6C3R9
CRA Business Number 81350 0659
mark.lepore@missionignitionsystems.com
(519) 341-4125

REPORT FINALIZED and MAILED - December 31st, 2025

RC626
Offshore Tax Informant Program (OTIP)
c/o NCR Mail Operations
2215 Gladwin Cres.
Ottawa ON K1B 4K9
CANADA

Subject: Submission of Evidence Under OTIP – International Tax Evasion and Institutional Obstruction
Submission of Offshore Tax Evasion Evidence – ECI Development & Affiliates

To Whom It May Concern,

As of December 31st, 2025, during preparation for a lawsuit against relevant agencies, Mark Lepore, and Michael Corvenous, Sovereign (Console) representatives of MISSION IGNITION SYSTEMS LTD., sole owner MARK CARMEN LEPORE (Clients), are reporting through agency of its Console, to the CANADIAN REVENUE AGENCY (CRA), an official CANADIAN agency in which to report money laundering, offshore tax evasion, and concealment, from international entities.

Console is submitting this report on behalf of MISSION IGNITION SYSTEMS LTD. an ONTARIO CANADA CORPORATION, and sole owner MARK CARMEN LEPORE of ONTARIO CANADA, which has been materially affected by offshore financial misconduct involving ECI DEVELOPMENTS of DELWARE UNITED STATES, GEORGETOWN TRUST of BELIZE, ECI DEVELOPMENT OF BELIZE, CAYE BANK of BELIZE, and similarly associated owners JOEL NAGEL, and MIKE COBB.

Console has undertaken this OTIP submission “PRO BONO” to both MARK CARMEN LEPORE and MISSION IGNITION SYSTEMS LTD. as a matter of duty of care due to their ships being adrift and unable to pay for the investigation and work accomplished to date. It is believed by this Console, that these matters are preferable to the direction MARK CARMEN LEPORE wishes to take these matters in a court of law, and that the CRA takes necessary action to clear up matters at hand to restore both time, money, and reputation of CORPORATE ships MARK CARMEN LEPORE and MISSION IGNITION SYSTEMS LTD. as a matter in faith and restitution for damages done, including loss of space agency contracts, and automotive clients requesting product, over the last 5 years, full restoration of assets in trust made directly to Clients, financial damages, and full asset recovery.

The on file SAULT STE MARIE DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE STATEMENT OF CLAIM has been delivered with these documents in faith to disclose Console and Client differences of opinion in regard to these matters.

The enclosed documentation outlines how systems and patterns reveal tax evasion, unregistered securities, and laundering through offshore trust that have disrupted lawful business operations and financial reporting.

This submission is being sent via ****registered mail**** to ensure receipt and tracking. As Council for MISSION IGNITION SYSTEMS LTD., and sole owner MARK CARMEN LEPORE, are owed a duty of care since being contracted for investigative matters, and documenting this communication in faith, as well as for potential, and official, disclosure.

Council Mark Lepore and Michael Corvenous, are submitting this package for its Clients under the Offshore Tax Informant Program (OTIP) to report credible, specific, and court-verified evidence of international tax non-compliance exceeding the \$100,000 threshold. The concealment involves layered assets in trust, nominee-held shares, and jurisdictional asset shifting across Belize and the Cayman Islands, orchestrated by JOEL NAGEL, MIKE COBB, Owners of ECI DEVELOPMENTS, ECI DEVELOPMENT, CAYE INTERNATIONAL BANK, AND GEORGETOWN TRUST, herein referred to as the Concealment Architect & Director (CAD).

Allegations are as follows:

Council will be referring to publicly-facing information to reveal patterns of co-ordinated cover up.

- It is alleged MISSION IGNITION SYSTEMS LTD., and owner MARK CARMEN LEPORE have been exposed to fraudulent investment offerings and misrepresented financial instruments originating from CAD including ECI DEVELOPMENT, and ECI DEVELOPMENTNS offshore network routed through DELAWARE UNITED STATES. These transactions have created false liabilities, obstructed accurate tax filings, and placed MISSION IGNITION SYSTEMS LTD., and MARK CARMEN LEPORE at risk of audit exposure and miss-classification due to regulatory failure. These failures continue to affect operations due to the severity and systematic failure of regulatory agencies, and is requested to investigate the below allegations due to the requirements of assets in trust and regulatory laws.
- Council wishes to highlight that CRA's business tax filing system lacks any mechanism for reporting fraudulent money movement or third-party misconduct. This omission places whistleblowers at risk of being misinterpreted or wrongfully implicated in the very schemes they are attempting to expose. Counsel is therefore submitting this report via registered mail to ensure clarity, protection, and public record. Counsel also reserves the right to disclose any and all information gathered for public distribution if it deems fit and just.
- Please refer to EXHIBITS A.1, A.1.1.1, and A.1.1.2 – KYC Documentation, and public promotion of ECI DEVELOPMENT shares. Please note, email "Mike Lefone" is a fictional

CANADIAN Person / Email, created by MARK CARMEN LEPORE, so it is evidenced CANADIAN People(s) were promoted to, in public domain, via mass “email blast”.

- Please refer to EXHIBIT A.1.1.4 list of publicly-facing and approved OSC entities approved for public sales of securities. CAD’s including MIKE COBB, JOEL NAGEL, ECI DEVELOPMENT(S), CAYE BANK or any of its constituents do not exist for public sale of securities.
- Please refer to EXHIBIT A.1.1.5 receipt of sale of shares and assets for \$255,000.00 USD to ECI DEVELOPMENT, not ECI DEVELOPMENTS.
- Please refer to EXHIBIT A.1.1.6 receipt of share purchase outlines address “care of” GEORGETOWN TRUST, without disclosure of asset holding in trust.
- Please refer to EXHIBIT A.1.1.7 search for ECI DEVELOPMENT as a legal business in BELIZE does not exist, indicating alternate trust facing entity, yet they are promoting securities to public domain.
- Please refer to EXHIBIT A.1.2 shareholder agreement shows sale from “COMPANY” in BELIZE, not in trust, indicating deceptive sales tactics.
- Please refer to EXHIBIT A.1.5 indicating how Council became aware of money laundering through GEORGETOWN TRUST owned by MIKE COBB and JOEL NAGEL.
- Please refer to EXHIBIT A.1.6.1 indicating how MIKE COBB requested re title of shares into unknown financial asset vehicle.
- Please refer to EXHIBIT A.1.6.2 from RACHEL JENSEN, employee of ECI DEVELOPMENT, requesting that MARK CARMEN LEPORE sign and affirm “accreditation”. This affirms that the assets are infact publicly facing shares being sold with intent to deceive purchaser. Accreditation is required for public sales to CANADIANS, and for CANADIANS which hold over 5 million in total assets / holdings, which neither MISSION IGNITION SYSTEMS LTD., or MARK CARMEN LEPORE have ever met condition for.
- Please refer to EXHIBIT A.1.1.8 from ECI DEVELOPMENT indicating issued dividend payments which have never been received or deposited by MISSION IGNITION SYSTEMS LTD. or owner MARK CARMEN LEPORE, indicating coordinated theft.
- Please refer to EXHIBITS G.22, G.23, G.24. G.25 for reports to RCMP for investigating mail theft of drivers license, gang stalking, and death threats with no investigation done. Please note, expired drivers license was attempted to be ordered for replacement, which never showed up between 2022 and 2023 at the SERVICE ONTARIO CANADA. The replacement drivers license had to be replaced twice, and the replacement HEALTH card went missing three times with no investigation. The final HEALTH card was returned according to SERVICE ONTARIO, even though MARK CARMEN LEPORE, setup a mail forward to the MAIN POST OFFICE BRANCH. This points again towards coordinated cover up of identity fraud.

- Please refer to EXHIBIT A.1.9 and A.1.10 the deceptive nature of associated entities creating two entities, one called ECI DEVELOPMENT, and another based in DELAWARE UNITED STATES as ECI DEVELOPMENTS. Possibly one held in trust without MISSION IGNITION SYSTEMS LTD, or owner MARK CARMEN LEPORE’s, knowledge.
- Please refer to EXHIBIT A.2 KYC document provided by ECI DEVELOPMENT indicating sales of items publicly facing which require KYC documents. Also note how KYC document does not conform to CANADIAN Legal requirements for KYC disclosure outlined in EXHIBIT A.2
- Upon several red flags, including non disclosure of assets, or financial numbers of “the COMPANY” ECI DEVELOPMENT, which are required for public facing shares, MARK CARMEN LEPORE became suspicious of the shares sold and hired Council for investigative purposes. Several attempts were made to disclose assets in trust, including emails, and other methods of corespondance, with no legally required response indicating deceptive practices. Please note the document states that non response indicates guilt. Please also note legal notice being served A.3, A.8 for two such attempts and non disclosure of assets by ECI DEVELOPMENT, ECI DEVELOPMENTS, MIKE COBB, JOEL NAGEL or any of their constituents concerning the status of asset holdings. Also note A.3.2 of response by Arcelia Sanchez indicating a legal representative would respond, with no legal response.
- Please note EXHIBIT A.3.3 where former employee indicates ECI DEVELOPMENT “Will spend millions” to hide assets.
- Please note EXHIBITS A.9 and A.10 where additional public promotion was done through Jeff Berwick, Anarchapoloco in Mexico and promoted to the general public concerning land title of EVA sales not disclosed in trust within GRAN PACIFICA NICARAGUA. Land title in trust is shown in EXHIBIT B.1.3 citing
“[4] The second matter is in relation to a golf course project in Nicaragua called Gran Pacifica Beach and Golf Resort (“the Pacifica Project”) and a guarantee of a loan made by Caye Bank to a third party, Pacific Paradise Limited, in relation to a project, the Grand Pacifica (“the Pacifica Guarantee matter”) in 2012.” and
“[13] *Mr. Joel Nagel is and was at all material times an Attorney-at-Law*, and was also a promoter and then a shareholder and Director of the Caye Bank.” and
“[14] Investors in the Pacifica Project included one Michael Cobb as well as Mr. Joel Nagel” and
“[15] Mr. Joel Nagel first approached Mr. Tommy Haugen in Belize in January 2000 and they had discussions about an investment opportunity in the Pacifica Project. “ and
“[17] *Mr. Haugen then retained Mr. Nagel as his family Attorney in relation to a family trust.*” and
“[19] Mr. Joel Nagel in the same letter wrote in his own hand ‘*Tommy, I thought this would be good *investment* for you*’ which was again signed.”
and
The Pacifica Guarantee Matter
[34] In relation to the Pacifica Guarantee matter, the alleged Guarantee, in about 2006 Mr. Tommy Haugen was approached by one Michael Cobb to assist with the Pacifica Project *Nicaragua* see citation [4].
[35] The initial plan for the Pacifica Project was to include a hotel, 100 homes and condos and a golf course.

[36] The Pacifica Project was to be funded by the sale of stock in Gran Pacifica, membership sales, and from the sale of lots and condos in Gran Pacifica.

- Please note EXHIBIT A.7 upon non disclosure of asset holdings the ONTARIO SECURITIES COMMISSION (OSC) was notified of illegal share and asset sales, however, the OSC closed the file indicating clear evidence towards an alternate financial asset vehicle such as holdings in trust. Furthermore, land purchase is outlined in court cited documents indicate asset holding in trust by JOEL NAGEL, not a legal public facing promotional mechanism. This means assets and shares were moved in trust without MISSION IGNITION SYSTEMS LTD., or MARK CARMEN LEPORE being made aware, and the OSC is possibly holding forgeries in trust..
- Please note Court cited evidence of fraud EXHIBIT B.1.3 – [64] to [75] outlining clear evidence of court cited fraud by JOEL NAGEL without investigation by the CRA, and clear lack of protection mechanisms of MISSION IGNITION SYSTEMS LTD. or MARK CARMEN LEPORE. JOEL NAGEL implicated in several court cited frauds including, as quoted

“[64] I am not going to go through each and every way that the representations were indeed misrepresentations, but I find that each and every representation was, in a material particular, material misrepresentations done for a fraudulent purpose; and therefore I find that the alleged fraudulent misrepresentations, as contained in the Statement of Claim, have been proved.

[65] Further I am satisfied that such misrepresentations were indeed proved, to the high degree of cogency that is required in a case involving an allegation of fraud, such as in the present case, being especially a case involving fraud, indeed a sophisticated fraud by an attorney against his client, which makes such a fraud all the more heinous and disturbing.

[66] I find that the suggestion by Counsel for the Defendant that the representations were substantially true as claimed by the Defendants further proof, if you like, of the subtlety of this fraud; and the deviousness of this fraud; perpetrated in a deceptive manner. This is analogous, by way of graphic example, to a situation where a husband and a wife are trying to have a baby and the wife has no proof whatsoever that she is pregnant says to her husband: “*I am finally pregnant*”. Just stating it in that way I think graphically shows and demonstrates how far from innocuous or even how pernicious the suggestion of a so-called substantial truth is, in the context of a subtle kind of fraud of this kind; as amounting to a complete misrepresentation of the probabilities.

[67] In fact I find that this kind of representation is so subtle and sophisticated, as one that could only be deftly devised and subtly drafted as contained in the letter of the 14th May 2003 and perpetrated by someone, such as a lawyer, with the kind of grasp of language which is the stock in trade of this profession; making such a fraud all the more pernicious.

[68] And to emphasize the nature of the fraud, the representations are particularly material because one of the conditions attached to the granting of the “A” Class licence was that Caye Bank lodge \$3,000,000 with a financial institution acceptable 14 to the Central Bank; and that such representation was being used to meet this condition.

[69] The conclusion that I have arrived at (that the misrepresentation in this case was actually being used to meet this condition of the Central Bank, in the sense that it was being used to induce Mr. Haugen to supply the means of satisfying the condition, and thereby, far from being merely reckless) was actually being used for a fraudulent purpose, to enable Caye Bank to meet such a

condition.

[70] One could only imagine if such similar misrepresentations were duplicated in other cases such as in the case of the Claimant, Mr. Tommy Haugen; what the total effect might be: amounting to a scheme or scam to make viable a proposal which otherwise would not be financially viable because it would otherwise lack the depository condition imposed by the Central Bank.

[71] I would therefore decide the central question for determination in the following terms, namely: that Mr. Joel Nagel misrepresented the status of Caye Bank to Mr. Tommy Lynn Haugen, that Caye Bank's application for a Class A license was approved by the Central Bank of Belize when it had not been so approved, all for the purpose of inducing Haugen to subscribe/purchase shares in Caye Bank.

[72] Now for me to continue any further I think would be in effect gilding the lily.

[73] I have arrived at the conclusion that the claimant is wholly entitled and has wholly succeeded in his claim and has proved that not only that there was a fraudulent misrepresentation perpetrated against Mr. Haugen but when one looks at the case in its totality, the way in which Mr. Haugen was treated, such conduct can only be described as a breach of contract, indeed a complete abuse, not only of a promoter of a proposed bank in relation to its investor; but of a client by an attorney in a position of fiduciary – which this court cannot condone in any way, manner or form.

[74] And this is being compounded by the way in which the defence has been conducted and I cast no blame whatsoever on Counsel for the Defendants in relation to the conduct of the defence of the case – Mr. Nagel and Caye Bank must entirely shoulder such responsibility.

[75] First of all, all of the claims in relation to both matters had been strongly contested in the Defendants' Defence; and on the first day of the trial one whole half of the claim was dramatically, and correctly, I might add, conceded on the Defendants behalf."

- Please note Court cited evidence of fraud EXHIBIT B.1.5 – [88] – [89] outlining additional, and clearly evidences court cited fraud by JOEL NAGEL without investigation and protection of MISSION IGNITION SYSTEMS LTD. or MARK CARMEN LEPORE as quoted

“(2) Was Caye Bank put on inquiry?”

[88] This is a question of fact. However, in determining whether, on the facts of this case, Caye Bank was put on inquiry, it behoves us to recall the caution of Steyn J in *Quincecare*¹⁹ that should guide our approach. He said there at 377: 19 *Quincecare* (n 1). Having stated what appears to me to be the governing principle, it may be useful to consider briefly how one should approach the problem. Everything will no doubt depend on the particular facts of each case. Factors such as the standing of the corporate customer, the bank's knowledge of the signatory, the amount involved, the need for a prompt transfer, the presence of unusual features, and the scope and means for making reasonable inquiries may be relevant. But there is one particular factor which will often be decisive. That is the consideration that, in the absence of telling indications to the contrary, a banker will usually approach a suggestion that a director of a corporate customer is trying to defraud the company with an initial reaction of instinctive disbelief ... And at 377e, he stressed: [I]t is right to say that trust, not distrust, is ... the basis of a bank's dealings with its customers. And full weight must be given to this consideration before one is entitled, in a given case, to conclude that the banker had reasonable grounds for thinking that the order was part of a fraudulent scheme to

defraud the company.

[89] It is manifest from this statement that, if Caye Bank is to be held to have been put on inquiry, the facts must reveal ‘telling indications’. In that regard, we find the evidence of Mr Mervyn Iles, an international banking expert experienced in the field of bank fraud, based on his consideration of the evidence placed before him, very important. Mr Iles opined that there were a number of red flags that would have put an ordinary prudent banker on inquiry as to whether Rosemore was being defrauded. Mr Iles was of the opinion that one such danger signal was the substantial amount requested to be transferred, USD175,000.00. He observed that that was out of character with the Rosemore account history where, since it was opened in 2011, there had been only one insubstantial withdrawal in the amount of USD1,915.76 in 2012 and the fact that the account had been dormant for several years. Additionally, the reason for which the transfer was requested namely, the purchase of a property was not in line with the business conducted by Rosemore nor had Rosemore paid the beneficiary before. Mr Iles also gave evidence that the use of the password ‘EARWIG’ which was openly recorded on documents and accessible to many employees of Caye Bank was unsecure.”

[102] The traditional approach to the interpretation of contractual terms excluding liability for negligence is that such terms should be interpreted as not excluding negligence unless there is explicit reference to negligence in the wording of the term: *Canada Steamship Lines Ltd v The King*²¹. This approach is said to be a special manifestation of the *contra proferentem* rule which is to the effect that an exemption clause should be construed strictly against the person relying on the exemption clause. On this approach, it is clear that clause 14 must be interpreted as not excluding Caye Bank’s *Quincecare* duty of care as that clause does not expressly refer to negligence.

[109] Counsel for Caye Bank contends that this clause ‘excluded the Bank’s liability save in the event of gross negligence or willful misconduct’ and that Caye Bank is ‘plainly’ protected by this clause ‘from a tortious claim in negligence at common law’. Counsel’s argument continues at [22] of his written submissions: It is trite that where a banking contract governs the parties’ rights and liabilities, there is no room for imposing a duty of care on the Bank which goes further than that which it has undertaken in the contract. See *Tai Hing Cotton Mill Ltd v. Liu Chong Hing Bank [1986] AC 80*. Therefore, as clause 51 protects the Bank from liability for breaching its contractual duty of care save where there is gross negligence or wilful conduct, it likewise protects it from liability for breaching any concurrent common law duty of care, save where it amounts to gross negligence or wilful (sic) misconduct.

- Indicating guilt EXHIBIT B1.6 GEORGETOWN TRUST Co. (JOEL NAGEL) vs MARVEL Differing from the usual rule it is equally clear that the application for the appointment of referees may be made by the plaintiff alone, where the defendant has failed to appear. *Revised Code* 1915, §§ 4316, 4317; *Woolley’s Del. Pr.*, § 702
- Please note EXHIBIT C.1 - CRA OTIP SUBMISSION outlining further frauds and deceptive practices outlined by JOEL NAGEL, CAYE INTERNATIONAL BANK and its constituents outlining a long history of fraud placing CANADIAN persons at risk.
- Please note EXHIBIT D.1 company name change at SERVICE ONTARIO in 2018 from WEAPONX PERFORMANCE PRODUCTS LTD. (LISTED ON NASA TECHNICAL WHITE PAPERS), to MISSION IGNITION SYSTEMS LTD, due to infringing companies in the UNITED STATES for “WEAPONX” TRADEMARK. Please see also EXHIBIT D.1.1, and D.1.2, D.1.3, D.1.4, D.1.5 (page 16) for verification of MARK CARMEN LEPORE as

DEVELOPER of COIL ON PLUG technology for spacecraft while company was named “WEAPONX”. It is alleged that infringement of company Trademark were tactical in nature to defraud then “WEAPONX PERFORMANCE PRODUCTS LTD.” of intellectual property delivered to NASA, shown in EXHIBIT D.1.3 to D1.5. EXHIBITS D.5.3 show large contracts utilizing MISSION IGNITION SYSTEMS LTD. technology.

- PLEASE NOTE EXHIBITS J.22, J.24 for taxation and benefit programs which would enrich individuals in Scientific Research and Development of this nature, tied to JOEL NAGEL through THE ROTARY CLUB, PRIDDLE LUCK (MISSION IGNITION SYSTEMS CHARTERED ACCOUNTANT as of 2019 by PARTNERSHIP of KEVIN THIBEAULT), and THE CHAMBER OF COMMERCE. Individuals who are tied to THE ROTARY CLUB, such as ANTHONY PUCCI, THE ROTARY CLUB’s PRESIDENT ELECT, have incorporated businesses such as “Elite Baseball BootCamp Corporation” EXHIBIT J.20, yet are involved in “Science and Economic Development (ISED) Canada.” while registered as a “Baseball BootCamp”, as well as SUZANNE PRIDDLE-LUCK, also registered as “Science and Economic Development (ISED) Canada.” while directly tied to THE ROTARY CLUB in description evidenced in EXHIBIT J.19. These individuals are allegedly tied to JOEL NAGEL, through THE ROTARY CLUB, to take advantage of these profitable programs by leveraging the intellectual property of MISSION IGNITION SYSTEMS LTD, via fraud and forgery of assets and intellectual property in trust. Also, SUZANNE PRIDDLE-LUCK newly tied to MISSION IGNITION SYSTEMS LTD., via EXHIBIT J.16, in partnership with KEVIN THIBEAULT CHARTERED ACCOUNTANT of MISSION IGNITION SYSTEMS LTD., and JOEL NAGEL, who has allegedly forged MARK CARMEN LEPOREs signature in trust without knowledge, and filed foreign taxes without the Councils or Clients knowledge, as evidenced by tax filings in EXHIBITS E.1 through F.5, are all tied to THE ROTARY CLUB outlined in EXHIBIT J.15.
- Please note EXHIBITS D.2, D.3, D.4, D.5 – it is alleged that JOEL NAGEL and its constituents schemed to defraud MARK CARMEN LEPORE and MISSION IGNITION SYSTEMS LTD., of extremely valuable intellectual property, through forgery in trust for intellectual property accumulated through military DX rated contracts (highest level priority US GOVERNMENT MILITARY contracts). JOEL NAGEL using his connections through THE ROTARY CLUB as AMBASSADOR and district president, evidenced in EXHIBITS J.5, J.6, J.7, J.8, J.9, J.10, J.11, J.12, J.13, to peer into and influence the financials of both MARK CARMEN LEPORE and MISSION IGNITION SYSTEMS LTD via partnership of PRIDDLE-LUCK Chartered accountant in SAULT STE MARIE, ONTARIO, CANADA, who is also a ROTARY CLUB MEMBER as evidenced in EXHIBIT J.14, J.15, J.16, J.17, J.18, J.19. PRIDDLE-LUCK partnering with long time (since 2012) Chartered accountant KEVIN THIBEAULT of SAULT STE MARIE, ONTARIO, CANADA who has been historically maintaining books for both MARK CARMEN LEPORE and MISSION IGNITION SYSTEMS LTD. up until approximately 2019 when both PRIDDLE-LUCK and KEVIN THIBEAULT partnered to maintain the books of MARK CARMEN LEPORE and MISSION IGNITION SYSTEMS LTD. Whether co-incidence or not, the connection and influence in partnership are of suspicious nature since the sales and nature of the assets sold to MARK CARMEN LEPORE or otherwise, are in non disclosure. This conflict of interests has left MISSION IGNITION SYSTEMS LTD., and owner MARK CARMEN LEPORE adrift, unable to properly assign its books as they may be compromised, properly operate, str unable to report financials, and are unable to work due to regulatory oversight of the CRA. This regulatory oversight causing MISSION IGNITION

SYSTEMS LTD., and owner MARK CARMEN LEPORE, of potentially being caught up in a nefarious scandals targeting both MISSION IGNITION SYSTEMS LTD., and MARK CARMEN LEPORE, and their valuable intellectual properties. Please also note that due to being unable to report which assets are in trust, MISSION IGNITION SYSTEMS LTD. and MARK CARMEN LEPORE are also unable to pay the required bills in these investigations and corrective measures and have been left adrift due to regulatory misfeasance. Council has offered its statement of seizure of all assets of both MISSION IGNITION SYSTEMS LTD., and MARK CARMEN LEPORE due to being adrift, unable to pay its bills, and have drafted statements of employment for MARK CARMEN LEPORE for viewing in EXHIBIT M.1. The COMPANY MISSION IGNITION SYSTEMS LTD. will be left adrift in the CANADIAN waters until the CRA clarifies outstanding matters, presents official paperwork with its claims, and restores MISSION IGNITION SYSTEMS LTD., and its ship to proper operation, including restoration of all finances, financials, damages, and assets. Items stated below indicating clear evidence of foreign involvement and attack on these adrift ships, specifically MISSION IGNITION SYSTEMS LTD. and MARK CARMEN LEPORE.

- Please note EXHIBIT J.26 Amrit Pal Singh, NDP LEADERSHIP, CORPORATION NAME “CANADA CORP” also tied to ISED in SAULT STE MARIE, ONTARIO CANADA
- Please note EXHIBIT J.27 and J.28 JOE LEPORE as the father of MARK CARMEN LEPORE, who has had access to SOCIAL INSURANCE NUMBER since birth, and tied to CANADIAN GOVERNMENT (RCMP), SAULT STE MARIE ONTARIO (SAULT STE MARIE POLICE SERVICES) who ignored theft of Clients Drivers License as shown in EXHIBITS G.12 and G.13, completing group of individuals who have access to detailed information, looking to exploit MARK CARMEN LEPORE and MISSION IGNITION SYSTEMS LTD. Please also NOTE J.29 a message sent to the main POST OFFICE OF CANADA to the manager “LIZ” which was ignored concerning theft of HEALTH INSURANCE CARD.
- Please note EXHIBIT I.1 – as filed MARK CARMEN LEPORE noted to SAULT STE MARIE POLICE FORCE that he could not file taxes for himself, or MISSION IGNITION SYSTEMS LTD. since purchase of the shares and the assets from JOEL NAGEL, MIKE COBB, ECI DEVELOPMENT and their constituents due to suspicious activity concerning the shares and the assets sold.
- Please note EXHIBITS E.1, E.2, F.1, F.2, F.3, F.4, F.5, F.6 indicating T1104E, T451E, T1191 forms issued by CRA indicating clear evidence of foreign state actors filing taxes for both MARK CARMEN LEPORE and MISSION IGNITION SYSTEMS LTD. without official authorization and without MARK CARMEN LEPORE’s knowledge. This, along with coordinated ties between JOEL NAGEL and SAULT STE MARIE, point towards intentional misrepresentation, theft of company, intellectual property in trust, assets, and coordinated fraud between agencies. Since **MARK CARMEN LEPORE did not file any taxes since 2020** due to suspicion of ill intent of CAD’s, it is clearly evidenced that the CRA is in possession of forgeries and falsified documentation allowing it to issue bills for amounts unknown to Council or Client in these matters. Also please also note that MARK CARMEN LEPORE lived in Nicaragua for most of the COVID epidemic, and did not return until 2023. He was unable to file taxes during that time, and it became apparent there was fraudulent activity upon returning.
- Please note EXHIBIT L.2 indicating differences of opinion of Council and Clients.

- Please note EXHIBIT M.1 outlining Client Representative / Council agreement

Additional Allegations of Pre Meditated Fraud, and Systemic Cover Up

Council reserves the right to publish all information forwarded for forensic analysis to the greater general public for full disclosure if it sees fit. The Canadian government has full rights to escalate matters, and is well within its rights to escalate a counter claim in a court of law.

Please once again note in summation that CANADIAN citizens have no legal access to assets in trust, have no legal means to force disclosure of assets in trust, or otherwise. Canadian citizens under this submission should seek legal council, yet Clients are now permanently adrift without resolution of these matters. There is no way to operate officially, have suffered irreparable damage, cannot work or contribute further in these waters until resolution is made within the waters of CRA.

This submission made under the Offshore Tax Informant Program (RC626), and is accompanied by supporting documentation including:

- Corporate structure diagrams
- Financial Concealment
- Investor testimonies and Jurisdictional Shell Games
- Screenshots of public facing emails for asset disclosure in trust.
- Supports “credible and specific” standard required for OTIP eligibility
- Court cited evidence of CAD concealment tactics across filings, bank records and legal maneuvers including, but not limited to.
 - *2020-CCJ-14-AJ.pdf*,
 - *2023_CCJ_4_AJ_BZ-Caye-Bank-v-Rosemore.pdf*,
 - *2020-CCJ-14-AJ Belize-Tommy-Caye Bank.pdf*,
 - *Civil-Appeal-No-25-of-2018-Caye-International-Bank-v-Rosemore-International-Corp.pdf*,
 - *Civil-Appeal-No-8-of-2016-Caye-International-bank-Ltd-and-Joel-M-Nagel-v-Tommy-Lynn-Haugen.pdf*,
 - *Supreme-Court-Claim-No-235-of-2014-Tommy-Lynn-Haugen-v-Caye-International-Bank-Limited-Joel-M.-Nagel.pdf*,
 - *Cox v. Mayan Lagoon Estates Ltd_.pdf*, tied to →
 - *Discovery Expeditions of Belize v Belize Yacht Club Ltd et Al.pdf*,
 - *Odyssey Reinsurance Co. v. Nagby.pdf*,
 - *In re Attorneys Administratively Suspended Pursuant to Pa.R.C.L.E. 111 (b).pdf*

These are several privately researched documents which reveal troubling statements in oversight by Canadian regulators. These documents reveal undisclosed offshore interests, omitted beneficial ownership, and jurisdictional evasion. These rulings also confirm concealment patterns that meet OTIP's international scope and financial threshold indicating intentional evasion.

Council asserts that the replication of fraudulent conduct, concealment of reporting mechanisms, refusal to disclose, and institutional silence constitute **premeditated misconduct**. These actions violate multiple provisions of the Criminal Code of Canada and common law fiduciary obligations.

The undersigned also asserts that as of this uncovering, and investigation, there is evidence of tactical evasion in trust has been uncovered. According to the Conflict of Interest and Ethics Commissioner – Information Notice for public office holders – Divestment of assets and blind trusts. The document displays tactical evasion of clear organized crime as the underwritten has revealed and submitted evidence of aiding and abetting, collusion, and organized crime.

This report supplements RCMP case 2025-55862, submitted July 2, 2025, Ontario Securities Commission case WBF1591284467-540, submitted October 6, 2024, Ontario Ombudsman case OMB-188176 submitted July 4, 2025, Local Sault Ste Marie Police Report SM25016709, Ontario Provincial Police Report #T25006469, Incident #E250865215, submitted August 8, 2025, which all document the same misconduct.

Council affirms possession of additional physical and digital evidence confirming the use of forged offshore trust instruments to legitimize unregistered securities held within CANADIAN agencies due to forgery. These instruments were concealed from the informant until 2025-09-29, triggering the discovery rule under the Limitations Act. The entities involved include CAD's and again Council requests full investigation and enforcement under the Income Tax Act and Criminal Code of Canada.

Sincerely,

Mark Lepore, Michael Corvenous

Authorized Representative, Council of

MISSION IGNITION SYSTEMS LTD., of ONTARIO CANADA

MARK CARMEN LEPORE, of ONTARIO CANADA